# **Comparison of Atmospheric Transport Model Calculations with Observations of Radioactive Debris**

# **P. W. KREY AND B. KRAJEWSKI**

#### **Health and Safety Laboratory, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission New York, New York 10014**

**A semi-empirical box model of atmospheric transport that permits the calculation of stratospheric inventories, surface air concentrations, and deposition of debris injected into the stratosphere, mesosphere, or higher levels has been developed. The model divides the atmosphere of each hemisphere into three compartments: the atmosphere above 21 km, the stratosphere below 21 km, and the troposphere. The transfer between compartments follows first-order kinetics, although the season and height of injection regulate the onset of the transfer. The model adequately computed the fallout parameters of the specific injections of**  <sup>102</sup>Rh, <sup>109</sup>Cd, <sup>288</sup>Pu, and <sup>90</sup>Sr from the 1961-1962 tests, and <sup>90</sup>Sr from the sixth Chinese nuclear **test in June 1967. It also predicted the 1969 fallout from the recent atmospheric tests.** 

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The reentry burnup of Snap-9A, the <sup>239</sup>Pu **fueled nuclear space generator, and the controversy over the antiballistic missle system emphasize the need for a method that can predict the ultimate fate of a high-altitude injection of radioactivity in the atmosphere. In addition to the Snap-9A, several high-altitude injections**  have already occurred. In August 1958, <sup>102</sup>Rh **was produced at 17øN at 43 km; •ø•Cd was injected at 17øN a.t 400 km on July 19, 1962 [List et al., 1966]. No formalized technique had been developed to predict accurately the surface air concentrations and deposition from such injections, however. To fill this need, we attempted a nonmeteorological approach to the problem and developed a semi-empirical box model of atmospheric transport.-This report compares the model's calculations with the observations from a number of radioactive injections and presents the model's prediction of the fallout in 1969 to demonstrate the model's usefulness in estimating fallout parameters.** 

#### **DESCRIPTION OF MODEL**

**This model, which is an extension of the concepts developed by Volchok [1966], Peirson [1967], and Fabian [1968], divides the atmosphere of each hemisphere into three compartments, as illustrated in Figure 1' the atmosphere** 

Copyright  $© 1970$  by the American Geophysical Union.

**above 21 km, the stratosphere below 21 km, and the troposphere. The model specifies that the transfer between compartments follows firstorder kinetics and that the only dynamic interchange between hemispheres occurs between the stratospheres, as indicated by the constant k.**  For an injection above 21 km, however, the **model assumes an initial division of debris between the hemispheres. This division may be the result of a mesospheric circulation (a theory first proposed by Murgatroyd and Singleton [1961]); this theory involves an ascent of air over the summer pole, a meridional flow from the northern to the southern hemisphere, and a descent of air over the winter pole. Other investigators have also proposed as a cause the exchange of air across the equator at altitudes in excess of 40 km [Kellog and Schilling, 1951; Leovy, 1964; Martell, 1968; List and Telegadas, 1969; Newell et al., 1969]. Other phenomena, such as electrostatic interactions of the ionized debris with geomagnetic fields., may also affect this distribution between hemispheres.** 

The model assumes that the debris from an **injection well above 21 km will arrive below 21 km during the first winter or spring season of each hemisphere after a 1-year delay from the time of injection. This assumption may also have some substantiation in Murgatroyd and Singleton's proposed circulation, but here it**  suffices to apply the assumption merely as a **condition to the model. For a stratospheric** 



**Fig. 1. Box model of atmospheric transport. The transfer between compartments follows first**order kinetics:  $\lambda_1 = 0.116$  month<sup>-1</sup>,  $\lambda_2 = 0.0693$ month<sup>-1</sup>,  $\lambda_s = 0.693$  month<sup>-1</sup>,  $k = 0.0116$  month<sup>-1</sup>.

**injection in the neighborhood of21 km or below, in most cases it is assumed that transfer between compartments will begin during the first winter or spring season of each hemisphere after the time of injection, as was evidenced by the fallout behavior after the 1961-1962 tests [Volchok, 1968] and the Chinese test of June 1967 [Krey el al., 1969].** 

**In addition, the model assumes that the surface air concentration of a particular nuelide is directly proportional to the tropospheric inyentory of that nuelide. The variables are defined as follows:** 

- **t,, time when major influx of debris from stratosphere to troposphere begins (if injection occurs above 21 km, to is the time when such debris first enters the stratosphere below 21 km).**
- **t, time after to.**
- **A, hemispheric inventory above 21 km.**
- **Ao, amount of tracer injected into hemisphere above 21 km.**
- **Bo, amount of tracer injected into hemispheric stratosphere below 21 km.**
- **G, hemispheric inventory in the troposphere.**
- **D, cumulative hemispheric deposition on**  earth's surface from  $t_0$  to  $t$ .
- **S, mean hemispheric surface air concentration.**
- **F, proportionality constant relating surface air concentration to tropospheric inventory.**

**Primed terms, A', Ao', B', Bo', C', D', and represent the respective values in the opposite hemisphere.** 

**From these definitions, we have** 

$$
dA/dt = \lambda_1 A \tag{1}
$$

$$
dB/dt = \lambda_1 A - \lambda_2 B - kB + kB'
$$
 (2)

$$
dC/dt = \lambda_2 B - \lambda_3 C \tag{3}
$$

$$
D = \int_{t_0}^{t} \lambda_3 C \tag{4}
$$

$$
S = FC \tag{5}
$$

**The solutions to these equations and the detailed formulation of the model are discussed elsewhere [Krey and Krajewski, 1969]. The proportionality factors for both hemispheres were calculated from the Health and Safety Laboratory (HASL) surface air and deposition**  data. The constants  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ , and *k* were evaluated **by fitting the equations to the stratospheric in**ventories from the <sup>100</sup>Cd injection and to the **stratospheric inventories and deposition of •øSr**  from 1961-1962 tests. The value  $\lambda_1$  reflects a **half-residence time of 6 months in the upper**  atmospheric compartment;  $\lambda_{\mathbf{z}}$  reflects 9 months in the stratosphere below 21 km;  $\lambda_s$  reflects 30 **days in the troposphere; and k reflects 60 months between the hemispheric stratospheres.** 

### COMPARISONS WITH PREVIOUS INJECTIONS

**Stratospheric inventories. It is instructive to observe how well the model estimates the fallout from earlier specific injections; we must remember that partial agreement is to be expected for the •ø•Cd and 1961-1962 •øSr debris because of the way the constants were evaluated. Figure 2 shows good agreement between the measured [Telegadas, 1968] and the calcu**lated inventories of <sup>109</sup>Cd in the northern and southern hemisphere stratospheres below 21 km.

**To obtain this agreement, it was necessary to have estimates of two of the model's input pa**rameters. The first estimate was the actual <sup>109</sup>Cd **production in the detonation and its availability to each hemisphere. \$alter [1964] reported that about 250 kc was produced, whereas Feely [1966] could only account for 75 kc by his stratospheric inventories. If we use our model as we inspect the stratospheric data, an initial available inventory of only 124 kc, with 90 kc in the southern hemisphere and 34 ke in the northern hemisphere is suggested. Because the injection occurred in July 1962, the meri-**  **dional flow in the mesosphere was from the northern to the southern hemisphere, which could explain the disproportionation between hemispheres. Another factor that may have had some bearing on the hemispheric distribution was the reported transport of ionized debris from this detonation to the southern magnetic conjugate point by an interaction with the earth's geomagnetic field [D'Arcy and Colgate, 1965]. Consequently, of the estimated 250 kc produced, either half escaped the atmosphere at the time of the detonation or there was an error in that estimate.** 

**The second estimate was the adjustment of**  the initial appearance of <sup>109</sup>Cd below 21 km. **According to the model, the initial appearance should have occurred in July 1963 in the southern hemisphere and in January 1964 in the northern hemisphere. Although the appearance did occur in the prescribed months, the fit as shown between the measured and estimated inventories for both hemispheres was markedly improved by delaying the predicted time of**  initial appearance by a relatively short period **of 3 months.** 

**tests in the model's atmospheric compartments** July 9, 1962, the expression at  $\frac{1000 \text{ m}}{400 \text{ km}}$  over 17<sup>o</sup>N. were calculated for January 1963 from Tele**gadas' data (private communciation, 1968). Figure 3 shows that the model then adequately the hemispheres. On the basis of these two**  estimated the measured stratospheric inventories assumptions, the model calculated the strato-<br>below 21 km in the northern and southern hemi-<br>spheric inventories of <sup>162</sup>Rh below 21 km, from below 21 km in the northern and southern hemi-<br>spheres from 1963 through early 1966 [*Tele*-early 1960 to late 1961, fairly well, as shown in gadas, 1968]. Because most of the <sup>oo</sup>Sr in the stratosphere in January 1963 was produced by stratosphere in January 1963 was produced by The reentry burnup of the Snap-9A gentie 1962 tests, it is assumed in these computa- erator offered an ideal test for the model. The **the 1962 tests, it is assumed in these computa- erator offered an ideal test for the model. The tions that the fallout began in January 1963 disintegration of this generator released 17 kc**  in the northern hemisphere and in July 1963 in of <sup>239</sup>Pu at 46 km over the Indian Ocean in the southern hemisphere.<br>April 1964 [*Krey*, 1967]. At that time, the

**[1964] inventoried the stratosphere for this northern to the southern hemispheres might nuclide in May 1961 and found about I Mc have already begun or was about to begin.**  hemispheres. Although <sup>168</sup>Rh production had been estimated at 3 Mc [*List et al.*, 1966], been estimated at 3 Mc [*List et al.*, 1966], that for the <sup>168</sup>Cd (73% in the southern and Machta's findings suggest that only 1 Mc was 27% in the northern hemisphere). Figure 5 **Machta's findings suggest that only I Mc was 27% in the northern hemisphere). Figure 5**  available to fallout. Because the injection oc-<br>curred in August during the late winter season ured [*Telegadas*, 1969, and personal communicurred in August during the late winter season ured [*Telegadas*, 1969, and personal communi-<br>in the southern hemisphere, the mesospheric cation, 1969] and calculated stratospheric inin the southern hemisphere, the mesospheric cation, 1969] and calculated stratospheric in-<br>meridional flow toward the southern hemisphere ventories of <sup>388</sup>Pu below 21 in both hemispheres. was apparently on the wane; this phenomenon allowed for an equal split of the <sup>106</sup>Rh between



Fig. 2. The <sup>109</sup>Cd stratospheric inventory below The inventories of "Sr from the 1961–1962 21 km. The inventories are decay corrected to sts in the model's atmospheric compartments July 9, 1962, the date of the <sup>168</sup>Cd injection at

early 1960 to late 1961, fairly well, as shown in Figure 4.

**the southern hemisphere.** April 1964 [*Krey*, 1967]. At that time, the **Working** on the <sup>162</sup>Rh problem, *Machta* meridional flow of the upper altitudes from the **Working on the <sup>162</sup>Rh problem,** *Machta* **meridional flow of the upper altitudes from the <br>[1964] inventoried the stratosphere for this northern to the southern hemispheres might** Therefore, it was assumed that the disproportionation between hemispheres was the same as

**meridion** for  $f^{\text{ss}}Pu$  below 21 in both hemispheres.<br>On June 17, 1967, Communist China detonated a 3-MT nuclear explosion at Lop Nor



**Fig. 3. The •øSr stratospheric inventory below 21 km. This debris is from the 1961-1962 nuclear weapons tests and is decay corrected to collection time.** 

44°N and injected 170 kc of <sup>∞</sup>Sr into the strato**sphere [Krey ei al., 1969]. This was the first large yield nuclear detonation in the midlatitudes of the northern hemisphere and is an interesting case to test our model. Almost all the debris was injected into the lower stratosphere below 21 km. The solid line in Figure 6 illustrates the trend of the "øSr burden of the northern hemisphere stratosphere from the sixth Chinese test. It is clear that fallout of this debris from the stratosphere began in November 1967 and not in January 1968 as was predicted by the model. If the model is adjusted for this 2-month period, the agreement between the measured [Krey et al., 1969] and calculated inventories in the northern hemisphere, as shown in Figure 6 is good.** 

**Surface air concentrations and deposition. These comparisons suggest that the model can satisfactorily describe the stratospheric history of an injection into any of its upper atmospheric compartments. To test the accuracy of the model for predicting surface air concentration and deposition, reference is made to these same**  injections. For <sup>®</sup>Sr from the 1961-1962 tests, **good agreement was obtained between the meas**ured [Kleinman and Volchok, 1969] and cal**culated mean annual surface air concentrations in both hemispheres, as shown in Figure 7. Figure 8 demonstrates that the model also adequately calculated the annual deposition of •øSr in each hemisphere from these tests [Kleinman**  and Volchok, 1969]. In these computations, as **in those for the stratospheric inventories for the 1961-1962 •øSr debris, it is assumed that the fallout in the southern hemisphere began in July 1963. Consequently, the 1963 values for the southern hemisphere in Figures 7 and 8**  are representative of only the last half of the **year.** 

**Unfortunately, the fallout measurements of**  all the other nuclides studied were far less com**plete, thereby weakening the comparisons with the model's calculations. Nevertheless, Table 1 presents a summary of these comparisons of surface air concentration and deposition in the form of ratios of the calculated values to the measured values. A ratio of I represents perfect** 



Fig. 4. The <sup>162</sup>Rh stratospheric inventory below **21 km. This tracer was injected high in the equatorial stratosphere on August 12, 1958; the inventories are decay corrected to that date.** 



Fig. 5. The <sup>288</sup>Pu stratospheric inventory below **21 km. This debris was produced by the atmospheric reentry burnup of the Snap-9A nuclear fueled space generator on April 21, 1964.** 

**agreement. For •Cd during the years 1964- 1966, the data show that the model calculated the mean annual hemispheric surface air concentrations in both hemispheres [Volcholc and Kleinman, 1969] to within a factor of about 2.**  These measured concentrations of <sup>109</sup>Cd are **hardly precise, are spotty, and in many cases are inconsistent. Because of this uncertainty, the agreement is considered acceptable.** 

**To obtain measurable •ø•Cd deposition data, reference must be made to the special large-area**  collectors employed by the USSR at  $40^{\circ}$ N lati**tude in 1964 and 1965 [Fedorov ei al., 1967]. The annual northern hemispheric deposit of •Cd was estimated from these measurements by means of the relationships derived from the 9øSr distributions with latitude [Hardy, 1968]. Whereas the model's calculations are high by as much as a factor of 2.5, the agreement is again considered reasonable because of the**  paucity of the <sup>109</sup>Cd measurements.

For <sup>102</sup>Rh, global or even hemispheric meas**urements of surface air concentrations have not** 

mated from Gustafson's measurements by comparing them with <sup>90</sup>Sr measurements in surface air. The model's calculations, as shown in Table **been made. However, Gustafson analyzed monthly surface air collections at Argonne National Laboratory in 1960 and part of 1961 [Gustafson, 1962]. The mean annual hemispheric concentration in surface air was estiparing them with 9øSr measurements in surface air. The model's calculations, as shown in Table 1, agreed with these estimates to within a factor of 2.** 

> Similarly, global deposition of <sup>102</sup>Rh was not **measured, although Volchok has estimated worldwide values from measurements in New**  York City [Volchok, 1966]. Table 1 demon**strates good agreement between the model's calculations and Volchok's estimates, except for a factor of almost 2 in 1961. This deposition agreement held in 1963, despite the report that additional •ø2Rh was injected into the lower stratosphere by the 1962 United States test series [Feely ei al., 1963].**

**For =sPu, Table 1 demonstrates that the model** 



**Fig. 6. The •øSr stratospheric inventory below 21 km in the northern hemisphere from the sixth Chinese nuclear weapons test of June 17, 1967. This was the first megaton detonation in the atmosphere of the midlatitudes of the northern hemisphere.** 



Fig. 7. The mean annual surface air concentra**tion of "øSt from the 1961-1962 nuclear weapons tests. The 1963 values in the southern hemisphere are representative only of the last half of the year, because the model assumes that the debris observed in the stratosphere in January 1963, from which the calculations are made, will not begin to fallout significantly in the southern hemisphere until July 1963.** 

**calculated the mean annual hemispheric con**centrations of <sup>288</sup>Pu in surface air [Volchok and **Kleinman, 1969] quite well in the southern hemisphere and within a factor of 2 in the northern hemisphere. Volchok [1969] has esti**mated the hemispheric deposition of <sup>238</sup>Pu from **measurements in New York City and Mel**bourne, Australia. The <sup>239</sup>Pu ratios in Table 1 **also indicate that the model calculated these hemispheric depositions satisfactorily, with the exception of the northern hemisphere in 1966.** 

**For China's sixth nuclear weapons test, only I year of fallout data are now available for**  comparison. On the basis of <sup>144</sup>Ce measurements **in deposition and surface air samples [Volchok and Kleinman, 1969], the contributions of Chinese debris to each type of sample could be made. Table I demonstrates that the model's calculations are in good agreement with these estimates.** 

**EXECUTED ANORTHERN HEMISPHERE**<br> **TAGGE 2** ACCORDING STATED AND THERE **EXECUTATIONS** OF 1.03 and 1.21), although individual variations<br> **NORTHERN HEMISPHERE CALCULATED STATED ASSESS The averages of the respective data in Table 1 indicate that in general there is no bias in the \_ model's calculations of annual hemispheric sur- \_ face air concentrations and deposition (ratios \_ of 1.03 and 1.21), although individual variations of a factor of 2 were common. The model ac- - tually computes monthly deposition and surface air concentrations. These monthly estimates are - only approximate, however, because in its present form the model does not account for seasonal variations in the transfer constants. - Nevertheless, the annual means are sufficiently : accurate. Modifications are in progress to allow**  for accurate monthly or seasonal computation.

## **- PREDiCTiONS**

**The model permits forecasting the fallout - from the 1965 atmospheric tests, but no measurements are yet available for a reasonable corn- - parison. According to our measurements, the two thermonuclear tests conducted by the French in the southern hemisphere on August 24 and September 9, 1968, injected a total •øSr input of 130 kc into the stratosphere. The** 



Fig. 8. The annual deposition of "Sr from the **1961-1962 nuclear weapons tests. The deposition in the southern hemisphere in 1963 is the accumulation from only July through December 1963.** 

<b>Nuclide</b>	Surface Air Concentration			Deposition		
	Year	N. Hemisphere	S. Hemisphere	N. Hemisphere	S. Hemisphere	World
109Cd	1964	0.4	0.5	1.1		
	1965	1.1	0.9	2.6		
	1966	0.4	0.3			
102Rh	1960	2.3				
	1961	1.2				1.7
	1962					1.1
	1963					0.8
33P <sub>u</sub>	1966	2.0	1.4	$4.0*$	1.6	
	1967	1.8	1.0	0.8	1.2	
	1968	1.2	0.9	0.7	0.8	
<sup>90</sup> Sr from						
China's 6th						
test	1968	0.7		0.9		
	Ave.	1.03		Ave.	1.21	

**TABLE 1. Ratio of Predicted/Measured Fallout** 

**\* Omitted from average.** 

**eighth Chinese test of December 28, 1968, injected 115 kc of •øSr into the northern hemisphere stratosphere. By allowing for immediate fallout of the French debris, we estimate that the stratospheric burden of øøSr in January 1969 was 200 and 132 kc in the northern and southern hemispheres, respectively, and essentially all was contained below 21 km. In the northern hemisphere in 1969, the model predicts a mean annual hemispheric surface air concentration of 3.8 dpm/103 SCM and an annual deposition of 100 kc of •øSr. In the southern hemisphere in 1969, the fallout predictions are 1.7 dpm/103 SCM and 70 kc of øøSr for air concentration and deposition, respectively.** 

#### **REFERENCES**

- **D'Arcy, R. G., and S. H. Colgate, Measurements at the southern magnetic conjugate region of the fission debris from the Starfish nuclear detonation, Y. G½ophys. Res., 70(13), 3147-3159, 1965.**
- **Fabian, P., W. F. Libby, and C. E. Palmer, Stratospheric residence time and interhemispheric mixing of strontium-90 from fallout in rain, Y. G½ophys. Res., 75(12), 3611-3616, 1968.**
- **Fedorov, G. A., I.E. Konstantinov, O. G. Shotnikova, and S. G. Malakhov, A study of Cd-109 deposition at several points in the Soviet**  Union 1964-1965, Sov. At. Energy, 23(3), 982-**984, 1967.**
- **Feely, H. W., B. Davidson, J.P. Friend, R. J.**  Lagomarsino, and M. W. M. Leo, Ninth quar-

**terly report on project Stardust, DASA-1309, 1963.** 

- **Feely, H. W., D. Katzman, and C. S. Tucek, Sixteenth progress report on project Stardust, DASA-1905, 1966.**
- **Gustafson, P. F., S.S. Brar, and M. A. Kerrigan, Airborne radioactivity due to nuclear weapons tests, J. Geophys. Res., 67 (12), 4641-4651, 1962.**
- **Hardy, E. P., M. W. Meyer, J. S. Allen, and L. T. Alexander, Strontium-90 on the earth's surface, Nature, 219(5154), 584-587, 1968.**
- **Kellogg, W. W., and G. F. Schilling, A proposed model of the circulation in the upper stratosphere, J. Meteorol., 8, 222-230, 1951.**
- **Kleinman, M. T., and H. L. Volchok, The estimation of global deposition from surface air radioactivity, U.S. At. Energy Commission Rep. HASL-214, 1-15-1-28, 1969.**
- **Krey, P. W., Atmospheric burnup of a plutonium-238 generator, Science, 158(3802), 769-771, 1967.**
- **Krey, P. W., and B. Krajewski, HASL model of atmospheric transport, U.S. At. Energy Commission Rep. HASL-215, 1969.**
- **Krey, P. W., M. T. Kleinman, and B. Krajewski, Stratospheric inventories: 1967-1968, U.S. At. Energy Commission Rep. HASL-210, 1-45-I-75, 1969.**
- **Leovy, C., Simple models of thermally driven mesospheric circulation, J. Atmos. \$ci., 21, 327-341, 1964.**
- **List, R. J., L. P. Salter, and K. Telegadas, Radioactive debris as a tracer for investigating stratospheric motions, Tellus, 18(2), 345-354, 1966.**
- **List, R. J., and K. Telegadas, Using radioactive tracers to develop a model of the circulation**

**of the stratosphere, J. Atmos. Sci., 26, 1128-1136, 1969.** 

- **Machta, L., R. J. List, and K. Telegadas, Inventories of selected long-lived radioisotopes produced during nuclear testing, U.S. At. Energy Commission Rep. HASL-142, 244-271, 1964.**
- **Martell, E. A., Tungsten radioisotope distribution and stratospheric transport processes, J. Atmos. Sci., 25, 113-125, 1968.**
- **Murgatroyd, R. J., and F. Singleton, Possible meridional circulations in the stratosphere and mesosphere, Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 87(372), 125-135, 1961.**
- **Newell, R. E., D. G. Vincent, and J. W. Kidson, Interhemispheric and tropospheric-stratospheric exchange processes from recent general circulntion studies, paper presented at CACR Symposium on Atmospheric Trace Constituents and Atmospheric Circulation, Heidelberg, Germany, Sept. 8-13, 1969.**
- **Peirson, D. H., and R. S. Cambray, Interhemispheric transfer of debris from nuclear explosions using a simple atmospheric model, Nature, 216, 755-758, 1967.**
- **Salter, L. P., Note on the detectability of cadmium isotopes from Starfish in 1964 ground**

**level samples, U.S. At. Energy Commission Rep. HASL-142, 303-305, 1964.** 

- **Telegadas, K., The seasonal stratospheric distribution of cadmium-109, plutonium-238, and stronium-90, U.S. At. Energy Commission Rep. HASL-184, 1-53-1-118, 1968.**
- **Telegadas, K., The seasonal stratospheric distribution of plutonium-238 and strontium-90, March through November 1967, U.S. At. Energy Commission Rep. HASL-204, I-2-I-16, 1969.**
- Volchok, H. L., The anticipated distribution of **Cd-109 and Pu-238 (from Snap-9A) based upon the Rh-102 tracer experience, U.S. At. Energy Commission Rep. HASL-165, 312-331, 1966.**
- Volchok, H. L., Worldwide deposition of Sr-90 **through 1967, U.S. At. Energy Commission Rep. HASL-200, 1-2-1-13, 1968.**
- **Volchok, H. L., Fallout of Pu-238 from the Snap-9A burnup-4, U.S. At. Energy Commission Rep. HASL-207, 1.5-1-13, 1969.**
- **Volchok, It. L., and M. T. Kleinman, Radionuclides and lead in surface air, U.S. At. Energy Commission Rep. HASL-214, D-I-D-79, 1969.**

**(Received November 11, 1969; revised February 25, 1970.)**